UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,534	11/29/2005	Hubert Spreitzer	14113-00028-US	4132
	7590 09/21/200 SOVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 2207		HEINCER, LIAM J		
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/553,534	SPREITZER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Liam J. Heincer	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 Ju</u>	ne 2009.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	, <del></del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8 and 9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	≣xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	🗖 :					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:						

Art Unit: 1796

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-6, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for specific bis(halomethyl)aryleens and compounds of formula I, does not reasonably provide enablement for the broad genuses claimed. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The instant claims require the poly(arylenevinylene) to have a solubility of at least 0.5% by weight in an organic solvent. However, the original specification does not provide support the product of polymerization of any bis(halomethyl)arylene in the presence of any claimed compound of formula (I) to have this property. As shown in Holmes et al. (Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 403-428, supplied by applicant) most polyayrlene produce an insoluble material (pg. 404). According to Hsieh et al. (US Pat. 5,817,430), attaching soluble side chains to the polymer can affect the solubility of the final polymer, although the results are limited in the GILCH polymerization (2:33-52). As the applicant is claimed a process based on the GILCH polymerization, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would not be able to predict which solublizing side chains would be effective. Additionally, as shown by Taylor et al. (Synthetic Metals 102 (1999) 1120-1121) the presence of bulky groups on an unsubstituted monomer would not necessarily result in a soluble product (pg. 1120-1121).

The original specification does the production of several soluble products (Table 1). However, all of these products are produced from reactants containing specific

Application/Control Number: 10/553,534 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

solublizing side chains (IAI-IA3 and M1-M5). As shown by Holmes et al. and Hsieh et al., a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would expect the polymers to be insoluble absent these solublizing side chains. The prior art of record in fact indicates that the non-substituted polymers would be insoluble despite the presence of bulky groups on the monomers. As the claims encompass a much broader range of compounds than those demonstrated to give soluble products, the original specification has not shown a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention how to make polymers with the claimed solubility with the claimed monomer and compound genuses.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 16, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, because:

The applicant appears to have misunderstood the outstanding rejection. The applicant has amended the value of R and the arguments are directed towards R. However, the rejection is based upon the presence of solubilizing groups on the aryl group of the monomer, not the reactive groups of the compound of formula (I). The instant claims allow for both substituted and non-substituted aryl groups on the bis(halomethyl)arylene and the compound of formula (I). However, as discussed above, the instant application only provides examples having solublizing groups on the aryl group (IAI-IA3 and M1-M5). As the prior art teaches that solubilizing groups would be required to give soluble polymers, the original specification is not enabling for any bis(halomethyl)arylene or compound of formula (I).

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/553,534 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Liam J. Heincer whose telephone number is 571-270-3297. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 7:30 to 5:00 EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Eashoo can be reached on 571-272-1197. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Eashoo/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796

LJH

September 14, 2009